

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

NORMA E. LOPEZ,	)	4:08CV3091
	)	
Petitioner,	)	
	)	
v.	)	<b>MEMORANDUM</b>
	)	<b>AND ORDER</b>
ROBERT HOUSTON, and	)	
STATE OF NEBRASKA,	)	
	)	
Respondents.	)	

This matter is before the court on Petitioner’s Motion to Appoint Counsel. ([Filing No. 8](#).) As the court previously informed Petitioner, “there is neither a constitutional nor statutory right to counsel in habeas proceedings; instead, [appointment of counsel] is committed to the discretion of the trial court.” [McCall v. Benson](#), 114 F.3d 754, 756 (8th Cir. 1997) (citations omitted). As a general rule, counsel will not be appointed unless the case is unusually complex or the petitioner’s ability to investigate and articulate the claims is unusually impaired or an evidentiary hearing is required. See, e.g., [Morris v. Dormire](#), 217 F.3d 556, 558-59 (8th Cir. 2000), *cert. denied*, 531 U.S. 984 (2000); [Hoggard v. Purkett](#), 29 F.3d 469, 471 (8th Cir. 1994) (citations omitted); see also Rule 8(c) of the [Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts](#) (requiring appointment of counsel if an evidentiary hearing is warranted). Upon review of the pleadings and Petitioner’s Motion, there is no need for the appointment of counsel at this time. Petitioner’s Motion is denied.

The court notes that Respondents filed a Motion for Summary Judgment on July 10, 2008. ([Filing No. 10](#).) Petitioner is cautioned that, in accordance with the court’s previous Memorandum and Order, she has until August 11, 2008 to file a brief in response to the Motion for Summary Judgment.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

1. Petitioner's Motion to Appoint Counsel ([filing no. 8](#)) is denied.
2. Petitioner shall have until August 11, 2008 in which to file a response to Respondent's Motion for Summary Judgment. In the absence of a response, the court will rule on the Motion without further notice.
3. In the event that Petitioner files a response, no later than 30 days after the filing of Petitioner's response, Respondent shall file and serve a reply brief.
4. No discovery shall be undertaken without leave of the court. See Rule 6 of the [Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts](#).
5. The Clerk of the court is directed to set a pro se case management deadline with the following text: August 11, 2008: check for the filing of Petitioner's response.

July 18, 2008.

BY THE COURT:

s/ Joseph F. Bataillon  
Chief United States District Judge